

# A Note on Hierarchical Clustering

Jef Wijsen

March 17, 2009

## 1 Preliminaries

We assume a universe  $\mathbb{O}$  of *objects*, equipped with a distance metric  $\text{dist}$ . That is,  $\text{dist} : \mathbb{O} \times \mathbb{O} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that for all  $o, p, q \in \mathbb{O}$ :

1.  $\text{dist}(o, p) \geq 0$
2.  $\text{dist}(o, p) = 0$  iff  $o = p$
3.  $\text{dist}(o, p) = \text{dist}(p, o)$
4. *Triangle inequality*:  $\text{dist}(o, p) \leq \text{dist}(o, q) + \text{dist}(q, p)$

Let  $o \in \mathbb{O}$  and  $r \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $r \geq 0$ . The *radius  $r$  ball around  $o$* , denoted  $B_r(o)$ , is defined by:

$$B_r(o) = \{p \in \mathbb{O} \mid \text{dist}(o, p) \leq r\}$$

Let  $O \subseteq \mathbb{O}$ . We define:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{diameter}(O) &= \max\{\text{dist}(o, p) \mid o, p \in O\} \\ \text{radius}(O) &= \min\{r \in \mathbb{R}^+ \mid \exists c \in \mathbb{O} : O \subseteq B_r(c)\} \end{aligned}$$

**Theorem 1** *Let  $O \subseteq \mathbb{O}$ ,  $|O| \geq 2$ . Then,*

$$1 \leq \frac{\text{diameter}(O)}{\text{radius}(O)} \leq 2$$

**Proof.** Let  $d = \text{diameter}(O)$  and  $r = \text{radius}(O)$ . We can assume  $o, p \in O$  such that  $d = \text{dist}(o, p)$ . Furthermore, we can assume  $c \in \mathbb{O}$  such that  $O \subseteq B_r(c)$ . Since  $O \subseteq B_d(o)$ , it follows  $r \leq d$ . From  $\text{dist}(c, p) \leq r$ ,  $\text{dist}(c, q) \leq r$ , and  $d \leq \text{dist}(c, p) + \text{dist}(c, q)$ , it follows  $d \leq 2r$ .  $\square$

## 2 Partitional Clustering

All definitions that follow are relative to some  $O \subseteq \mathbb{O}$  with  $N = |O|$  and distance metric  $\text{dist}$ .

**Definition 1** Let  $k$  be a positive integer such that  $1 \leq k \leq N$ . A  $k$ -clustering of  $O$  (or simply *clustering* if  $k$  and  $O$  are understood) is a partition  $\{C_1, \dots, C_k\}$  of  $O$ , where

1. for each  $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ ,  $\{\} \neq C_i \subseteq O$ ;
2. for each  $i, j \in \{1, \dots, k\}$  such that  $i \neq j$ ,  $C_i \cap C_j = \{\}$ ; and
3.  $\bigcup_{i=1}^k C_i = O$ .

We use  $\mathbb{C}_k$  to denote a  $k$ -clustering of  $O$ . Every element  $C_i$  of a  $k$ -clustering  $\mathbb{C}_k$  is called a *cluster*. Obviously,  $\mathbb{C}_1 = \{O\}$  and  $\mathbb{C}_N = \{\{o\} \mid o \in O\}$ . For a  $k$ -clustering  $\mathbb{C}_k$ , we define:

$$\text{cost}(\mathbb{C}_k) = \max\{\text{diameter}(C) \mid C \in \mathbb{C}_k\}, \text{ the cost of } \mathbb{C}_k.$$

□

Alternatively, the cost of a clustering could be taken to be the maximal radius of its clusters.

**Definition 2** Let

$$\text{optcost}(k) = \min\{\text{cost}(\mathbb{C}_k) \mid \mathbb{C}_k \text{ is a } k\text{-clustering of } O\}$$

A  $k$ -clustering  $\mathbb{C}_k$  is called *optimal* if  $\text{cost}(\mathbb{C}_k) = \text{optcost}(k)$ .

□

**Example 1** Let  $O = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\} \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $\text{dist}(i, j) = |i - j|$ . Then,

- $\text{optcost}(2) = 2$ , which is the cost of the 2-clustering  $\{\{1, 2, 3\}, \{4, 5, 6\}\}$ ; and
- $\text{optcost}(3) = 1$ , which is the cost of the 3-clustering  $\{\{1, 2\}, \{3, 4\}, \{5, 6\}\}$ .

□

### 3 Hierarchical Clustering

**Definition 3** Let  $\mathbb{C}_k$  and  $\mathbb{C}_l$  be two clusterings of the same set with  $k > l$ . We write  $\mathbb{C}_k \prec \mathbb{C}_l$  if for every  $C \in \mathbb{C}_k$ , there exists  $D \in \mathbb{C}_l$  such that  $C \subseteq D$ .  $\square$

**Example 2** Let  $O = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$ . Let  $\mathbb{C}_3 = \{\{1, 2\}, \{3, 4\}, \{5, 6\}\}$ . Let  $\mathbb{C}_2 = \{\{1, 2, 3\}, \{4, 5, 6\}\}$ . Let  $\mathbb{C}'_2 = \{\{1, 2, 3, 4\}, \{5, 6\}\}$ . Then,  $\mathbb{C}_3 \not\prec \mathbb{C}_2$  and  $\mathbb{C}_3 \prec \mathbb{C}'_2$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 1** Let  $\mathbb{C}_k \prec \mathbb{C}_l$  with  $k > l$  be two clusterings of the same set. For all  $C \in \mathbb{C}_k$ ,  $D \in \mathbb{C}_l$ ,

$$C \cap D \neq \{\} \iff C \subseteq D .$$

**Proof.** The implication  $\Leftarrow$  is trivial. For the opposite implication, assume  $C \cap D \neq \{\}$ . We can assume  $a \in C \cap D$ . Since  $\mathbb{C}_k \prec \mathbb{C}_l$ , we can assume  $D' \in \mathbb{C}_l$  such that  $C \subseteq D'$ . Since  $a \in D \cap D'$ , we have  $D = D'$ . Consequently,  $C \subseteq D$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2** Let  $\mathbb{C}_k \prec \mathbb{C}_l$  with  $k > l$  be two clusterings of the same set. For every  $D \in \mathbb{C}_l$ ,  $D = \bigcup \{C \in \mathbb{C}_k \mid C \subseteq D\}$ .

**Proof.** Assume  $a \in D$ . We can assume  $C_a \in \mathbb{C}_k$  such that  $a \in C_a$ . Since  $C_a \cap D \neq \{\}$ ,  $C_a \subseteq D$  by Lemma 1. Consequently,  $a \in \bigcup \{C \in \mathbb{C}_k \mid C \subseteq D\}$ . Since  $a$  is an arbitrary element of  $D$ ,  $D \subseteq \bigcup \{C \in \mathbb{C}_k \mid C \subseteq D\}$ . The opposite inclusion is trivial.  $\square$

**Corollary 1** If  $\mathbb{C}_{k+1} \prec \mathbb{C}_k$  are two clusterings of the same set, then for some  $C_1, C_2 \in \mathbb{C}_{k+1}$  such that  $C_1 \neq C_2$ ,

$$\mathbb{C}_k = (\mathbb{C}_{k+1} \setminus \{C_1, C_2\}) \cup \{C_1 \cup C_2\} .$$

**Proof.** Assume  $\mathbb{C}_{k+1} \prec \mathbb{C}_k$ . Then,

- for every  $C \in \mathbb{C}_{k+1}$ , there exists a unique  $D \in \mathbb{C}_k$  such that  $C \subseteq D$ ; and
- for every  $D \in \mathbb{C}_k$ , there exists  $C \in \mathbb{C}_{k+1}$  such that  $C \subseteq D$ .

Since  $|\mathbb{C}_{k+1}| = |\mathbb{C}_k| + 1$ , we can assume w.l.o.g. the following numbering of clusters:

- $\mathbb{C}_{k+1} = \{C_1, \dots, C_{k+1}\}$  and  $\mathbb{C}_k = \{D_1, \dots, D_k\}$ ;
- $C_1 \subseteq D_1, C_2 \subseteq D_2, \dots, C_k \subseteq D_k$ ; and
- $C_{k+1} \subseteq D_k$ .

By Lemma 2,  $D_1 = C_1, D_2 = C_2, \dots, D_{k-1} = C_{k-1}$ , and  $D_k = C_k \cup C_{k+1}$ .  $\square$

**Definition 4** A *hierarchical clustering* (of  $O$ ) is a sequence

$$\mathbb{H} = \mathbb{C}_N \prec \mathbb{C}_{N-1} \prec \dots \prec \mathbb{C}_1 ,$$

where each  $\mathbb{C}_k$  is a  $k$ -clustering (of  $O$ ). □

Notice that a hierarchical clustering is *not* a clustering, but a sequence of clusterings.

**Example 3** Two hierarchical clusterings of  $\{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$  are as follows:

- $\mathbb{H}_1 =$ 
  - $\{\{1\}, \{2\}, \{3\}, \{4\}, \{5\}, \{6\}\}$
  - $\prec \{\{1, 2\}, \{3\}, \{4\}, \{5\}, \{6\}\}$
  - $\prec \{\{1, 2\}, \{3, 4\}, \{5\}, \{6\}\}$
  - $\prec \{\{1, 2\}, \{3, 4\}, \{5, 6\}\}$
  - $\prec \{\{1, 2, 3, 4\}, \{5, 6\}\}$
  - $\prec \{\{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}\}$
- $\mathbb{H}_2 =$ 
  - $\{\{1\}, \{2\}, \{3\}, \{4\}, \{5\}, \{6\}\}$
  - $\prec \{\{1\}, \{2\}, \{3\}, \{4, 5\}, \{6\}\}$
  - $\prec \{\{1\}, \{2, 3\}, \{4, 5\}, \{6\}\}$
  - $\prec \{\{1\}, \{2, 3\}, \{4, 5, 6\}\}$
  - $\prec \{\{1, 2, 3\}, \{4, 5, 6\}\}$
  - $\prec \{\{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}\}$

Notice that  $\mathbb{H}_1$  contains the unique optimal 3-clustering (call it  $\mathbb{C}_3^o$ ), and  $\mathbb{H}_2$  contains the unique optimal 2-clustering (call it  $\mathbb{C}_2^o$ ). Since  $\mathbb{C}_3^o \not\prec \mathbb{C}_2^o$ , there exists no hierarchical clustering that contains both  $\mathbb{C}_3^o$  and  $\mathbb{C}_2^o$ . □

There are two main approaches to construct a hierarchical clustering  $\mathbb{C}_N \prec \mathbb{C}_{N-1} \prec \dots \prec \mathbb{C}_1$ :

*Agglomerative:* each  $\mathbb{C}_k$  is constructed from  $\mathbb{C}_{k+1}$ , starting from  $\mathbb{C}_N$ .

*Divisive:* each  $\mathbb{C}_k$  is constructed from  $\mathbb{C}_{k-1}$ , starting from  $\mathbb{C}_1$ .

## 4 Problem Statement

Dasgupta and Long [DL05] give a divisive algorithm for constructing a hierarchical clustering  $\mathbb{C}_N \prec \mathbb{C}_{N-1} \prec \dots \prec \mathbb{C}_1$  such that for every  $k \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ ,  $\text{cost}(\mathbb{C}_k) \leq 8 \times \text{optcost}(k)$  (for every set and distance metric).

## References

- [DL05] Sanjoy Dasgupta and Philip M. Long. Performance guarantees for hierarchical clustering. *J. Comput. Syst. Sci.*, 70(4):555–569, 2005.